

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/708,890	11/08/2000	Jeffrey Mark Bertram	16600.105005	3107	
75	90 06/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
King & Spalding 191 Peachtree Street NE			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P		
45th Floor	treet NE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Atlanta, GA 3	0303		3629		
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	Advisory Action	09/708,890	0 BERTRAM ET AL.				
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jonathan Ouellette	3629				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	Iress			
THE	REPLY FILED 06 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP						
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
have under set fo may r	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exerciser 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the softh in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriation of the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th				
	NDMENTS						
3. 🔼	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or (d) ☒ They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying				
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		, ootoa olamio.				
4. 🗀	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. 🗀	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):					
6. 🗌	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 18-25 and 27-81. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
<u>AFFI</u>	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.			
	☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s).				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appear Brief TC 3600 pm

Part of Paper No. 20060613

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Applicant has added amendments/new claims that require additional search and consideration by the Examiner.